(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5576 OF 2005

PETITIONER : Radheshyam Dhruw, aged about 40 years Son of Late Shri Govind Ram Dhruw, Assistant Teacher/Hostel Superintendent, Balak Ashram Badejamhari, Block Narayanpur, District Bastar (C.G.).

P.R. No. 5651/05
Presented by Shri A.N. Dhakta
dated 27/10/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Collector, District Bastar (C.G.).

3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Bastar, District Bastar (C.G.).

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Naryanpur, District Bastar (C.G.).

5. The Block Education Officer, Naryanpur, District Bastar (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
27/10/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.5576 OF 2005

Petitioner : Radheshyam Dhruw

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. A.N.Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents No.1, 2, 3 and 5.
None appears for respondent No.4.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Assistant Teacher in Government Primary School, Badejamhari has been transferred to Government Primary School, Jondharaguda, vide order dated 16-09-2005 (P-1). The petitioner impugns the transfer order dated 16-09-2005 (P-1).

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 03-12-2005, stayed the impugned order dated 16-09-2005 (P-1) and the petitioner was directed to be allowed to continue if other person had not joined.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1, 2, 3 and 5 has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal